UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

**RICARDO JEAN-SIMON** 

CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-0239

**VERSUS** 

**JUDGE DOHERTY** 

MICHAEL MUKASEY, ET AL

MAGISTRATE JUDGE METHVIN

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the court is the government's motion to dismiss petitioner Ricardo Jean-Simon's petition for writ of *habeas corpus*, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241.<sup>1</sup> The motion is unopposed. This matter has been referred to the undersigned magistrate judge for review, report, and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).

At the time the petition for writ of *habeas corpus* was filed, petitioner was in detention under the authority of the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement, United States Department of Homeland Security ("USICE"), and he sought to have the court review his post-removal detention. However, in its motion, the government states that on April 29, 2009, the petitioner was released from institutional detention and removed from the United States. <sup>2</sup>

Because the petitioner is no longer in custody, his challenge to his post-removal order of detention is now moot and should be dismissed.

Accordingly,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the motion to dismiss (rec. doc. 7) be **GRANTED** and that the petition be **DENIED** and **DISMISSED** AS MOOT.

<sup>1</sup>Rec. Doc. 7.

<sup>2</sup> Rec. Doc. 7, Exhibit A.

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b)(1)(C) and Rule 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from receipt of this report and recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court. A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after receipt of a copy of any objections or responses to the district judge at the time of filing.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed factual findings and/or the proposed legal conclusions reflected in this Report and Recommendation within ten (10) days following the date of receipt, or within the time frame authorized by Fed.R.Civ.P. 6(b), shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking either the factual findings or the legal conclusions accepted by the District Court, except upon grounds of plain error. See <a href="Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association">Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</a>, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996).

Signed at Lafayette, Louisiana, on May 27, 2009.

Mildred E. Methvin

United States Magistrate Judge 800 Lafayette St., Suite 3500

Lafayette, Louisiana 70501

(337) 593-5140 (phone) 593-5155 (fax)